Original Research Paper

Improved lipid and biomass productivities in *Chlorella vulgaris* by differing the inoculation medium from the production medium

Shahrbano Hamedi, Mahmood A. Mahdavi*, Reza Gheshlaghi

Department of Chemical Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Azadi Square, Pardis Campus, 91779-48944, Mashhad, Iran.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Using different media for inoculums preparation and microalgae production improved lipid and biomass productivities.
- When SH4 was selected for inoculation medium and N8 was selected for production medium, 130% increase in biomass productivity and 40% increase in lipid productivity was observed.
- Specific growth rate improved by differing inoculums preparation medium from production medium and changed from 0.0040/h to 0.0122/h.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 October 2015
Received in revised form 19 March 2016
Accepted 9 April 2016
Available online 1 June 2016

Keywords:

Microalgae
Biomass productivity
Lipid productivity
Production medium
Inoculation medium
Chlorella vulgaris

ABSTRACT

Improvement of biomass and lipid productivities is now one of the main concerns in commercialization of microalgae cultivation as a feedstock for algal biofuel production. Conventional photoautotrophic processes using well-studied and rich in oil strain of *Chlorella vulgaris* are not able to meet such demands. A new strategy of inoculating algae production medium with cells grown in a different medium from the production medium was proposed herein. More specifically, when SH4 was used as production medium and N8 was used as inoculation medium, biomass and lipid productivities increased by 2.33 folds and 1.44 folds, respectively, compared with when the production and inoculation media were the same, such as SH4. The findings of the present investigation showed that this cultivation scheme resulted in 52% increase in cell number and 54% increase in dry weight leading to improved productivities. Although by even considering this improvement, photoautotrophic cultivation of algae can hardly compete with the heterotrophic cultivation, the high cost of hydrocarbon supply required in large-scale heterotrophic processes marks the technique proposed in the present study as a promising approach for commercialization of algal biofuel production.

© 2016 BRTeam. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author at: Tel.: +98-513-8805008
E-mail address: mahdavi@um.ac.ir

1. Introduction

Microalgae has been at the center of attention during the past decades for its potential as a source of feedstock for biofuel production (Razon and Raymond, 2011) as well as other industrial applications (Carlsson et al., 2007). With the growing concern over carbon dioxide emission, sensible effects of global warming, and shortage of fossil fuel resources, this potential has been taken into serious consideration by governments and policy making agencies investing millions of dollars for research on commercialization of this technology (Sheehan et al., 1998). However, the feasibility of this technology as a future source of energy at a global scale requires significant increases to be achieved in algal productivity in order to generate sufficient feedstock to meet the increasing demands (US DOE, 2010).

Both biomass and lipid productivities are species-dependent at the first glance. However, environmental factors such as substrate type, nitrogen content of the media, metal elements, illumination scheme, cultivation strategy, and photobioreactor configuration could also influence the final productivities to a high extent. Numerous efforts have been reported on enhancement of biomass and lipid productivities through adjustment of these environmental factors (Mata et al., 2010; Pittman et al., 2011).

Changing environmental factors imply a "two-stage" cultivation in which conventional culture is perturbed by means of different strategies including nitrogen depletion, intense illumination, elemental supplements, etc; and consequently lipid accumulation in algal cells is stimulated under stress conditions. This approach has been adopted in many studies to improve lipid and biomass productivities. Total fatty acid was reported to increase from 86.2 mg/g cell to 137 mg/g cell in a 6-day batch culture when nitrogen content was reduced to 3% of dry weight (Richardson et al., 1969). Low-nitrogen media contributed to an overall increase in lipid content from 6% to 62% in different studies (Illman et al., 2000; Hu and Gao, 2006; Converti et al., 2009; Nigam et al., 2011; Uslu et al., 2011) while lipid productivity improved from 6 mg/L.d to 8 mg/L.d (Widjaja et al., 2009). In a two-stage marine microalgae cultivation, transferring from N-replete medium to N-free medium caused lipid content to increase to 20-26% due to metabolic stress (Jiang et al., 2012). Although continuous illumination increased algal cell concentration by 25% on average (Lee and Lee, 2001; Yusof et al., 2011) with no significant differences caused by the type of illumination source, changing light intensity from 100 $\mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2\cdot\text{s}$ to 200 $\mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2\cdot\text{s}$ under 15:9 light-dark cycles contributed to 50% increase in cell density from 0.8×10^7 cell/mL to 1.2×10^7 cell/mL (Tang et al., 2011). Controlled conditions at 60 μmol photons/ $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{s}$ along with other parameters led to a biomass productivity of 40 mg/L.d (Lv et al., 2010). Optimization of media in terms of major components such as metal elements (Mandalam and Palsson, 1998), and specifically iron (Liu et al., 2008), potassium, and magnesium (Tran et al., 2010) increased lipid content to 56.6% and lipid and biomass productivities to 190 mg/L.d and 304 mg/L.d; respectively. In a recent study, medium optimization resulted in high lipid content of 59.6% and lipid productivity of 74 mg/L.d obtained under 750 mg/L nitrogen content and zero phosphorous content while iron was supplemented as well (Singh et al., 2015). Sufficient phosphorous concentration under nitrogen limitation condition could improve biomass production as high as nitrogen sufficient conditions (Chu et al., 2013).

Another aspect of the two-stage cultivation is switching from photoautotrophic algal growth to heterotrophic growth. The first studies on heterotrophic algal growth, with 10 g/L glucose supply, reported an increase in lipid content of *Chlorella protothecoides* from 15% to 55% (Miao and Wu, 2006). Under three organic carbon sources including glucose (1% w/v), acetate (1% w/v), and glycerol (1% w/v), *C. vulgaris* achieved biomass productivity of 254 mg/L.d and lipid productivity of 54 mg/L.d on glucose with light (mixotrophic) which was 19 folds and 14 folds higher than biomass and lipid productivities obtained in the same culture with merely air bubbling into the medium, respectively (Liang et al., 2009). However, lipid content decreased from 38% to 21% at the same condition. In another attempt on heterotrophic algal production, *C. vulgaris* was grown heterotrophically to reach higher cell densities, and then was diluted and subjected to a light environment for photoinduction. Using this strategy, after 12 h of illumination, biomass productivity reached 3333 mg/L.d and lipid productivity was 85 mg/L.d while lipid content of 25% was obtained (Fan et al., 2012). In a similar work, after seeding *C. vulgaris* in a heterotrophic medium, the production culture was inoculated resulting in biomass and lipid

productivities 1.48 and 1.42 times higher than those with photoautotrophic seed, respectively (Han et al., 2012). Biomass productivity in seed culture was 25.2 times higher than those obtained by photoautotrophy.

The two-stage strategy was applied to 5-liter bioreactors and cell productivity increased from 24×10^6 cell/mL.d under phototrophic condition to 178×10^6 cell/mL.d under heterotrophic condition (Zheng et al., 2012). Although heterotrophic conditions improve biomass and lipid productivities significantly, this cultivation method does not appeal to algal industry too much as it requires supply of suitable feedstock such as lignocellulosic sugars which makes this technology costly (US DOE, 2010). Thus, autotrophic processes are still the main cultivation method particularly at large-scale operation.

In this study, as an alternative two-stage approach, a new strategy for improving biomass and lipid productivities of *C. vulgaris* based on a photoautotrophic cultivation scheme is proposed. This strategy relies on differing the inoculation (seed) culture from the production culture. Unlike the conventional cultivation scheme in which algal cells are grown in a medium and then inoculated in the same medium in higher volumes (usually 5-10% vol), in this proposal algal cells are grown in a medium and then are harvested and inoculated in a different medium in higher volumes with different composition. It is worth mentioning that the choice of the two media and their compositions is a key factor.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Algal Strain

Chlorella sp. has been reported as the most suitable photoautotrophic microalgae for biofuel production due to its high productivity of fatty acids relevant to transesterification reaction (Hempel et al., 2012). These species are photosynthetic single cell green algae which are also used for body detox and human nutrition. Thereby, *C. vulgaris* was used as the working organism in this study. It was purchased from the Algae Culture Collection of Research Center for Basic and Applied Science at the University of Shahid Beheshti, Tehran, Iran.

2.2 Materials

Chemicals used in this study were all obtained from Merck Chemicals (Germany). Two media were used in this study. The Shuisheng-4 medium (SH4) included chemical components (mg/L) as follows (Qian et al., 2008): $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ 200; $\text{Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ 30; $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 80; NaHCO_3 100; KCl 25; FeCl_3 1.5; K_2HPO_4 10; and 1 ml elemental solution (g/L) containing: H_3BO_3 2.86; $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 1.81; $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 0.222; $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 0.391; $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 0.079. The N8 medium contained the following components (mg/L) (Mandalam and Palsson, 1998): KNO_3 1000; KH_2PO_4 740; $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 260; $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 13; FeEDTA 10; $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 50; dissolved in distilled water and 1 mL of elemental solution (g/L) including: $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 12.98; $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 3.2; $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 1.83; $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 18\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 3.58.

2.3 Culture conditions

Cells were grown in 500 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 400 mL culture medium. The medium and flasks were sterilized in an autoclave for 15 min at 121 °C in order to prevent any contamination during stages of growth. Culture at 25 °C was illuminated with cool white fluorescent lamps at an intensity of 3500 lux (equivalent to 40 μmol photon/ $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{s}$) in 16:8 h light-dark cycles. Air and pure CO_2 (purity >99%) were mixed with approximately 1% CO_2 and passed through a filter for sterilization and then bubbled into the solution. The aeration rate was measured using a rotameter (LZB-4WB), setting on 0.5 L/min. The pH of both media was adjusted to 7 using NaOH and HCl at the beginning and monitored throughout the experiment. To prepare inoculants, algal growth continued until the midst of exponential phase, i.e., approximately 44 h (including lag phase) for SH4 medium and approximately 80 h (including lag phase) for N8 medium. Next, a volume equivalent to 10% of the production medium was centrifuged at 4300 rpm for 25 min to harvest cells. Then, the cell mass was washed twice with distilled water and re-suspended with production medium. In cases where inoculation and production media

were the same, cell harvesting was ignored and inoculation was performed directly. In production medium, growth continued until late-exponential phase where optical density of the broth stayed nearly constant.

2.4 Growth evaluation and biomass productivity

After inoculation with 10% of the working volume of production medium, algal growth was determined by measuring optical density at 680 nm using a UNICO UV-VIS 2100 spectrophotometer (Unico Inc, Shanghai, China). One mL of sample was removed from culture broth in a sterile fashion and poured into a glass cuvette. Fresh medium was used as control. In order to confirm the relationship between optical density and growth, cell count was also performed. For each sample, 1 mL of algal suspension was removed through sampling tube and direct count was performed using Neubauer homocytometer under light microscope.

To determine the dry cell weight of the culture, 200 mL of culture broth was centrifuged at 4300 rpm for 25 min. Cells were washed twice with distilled water. Then, biomass was poured into an aluminum pre-weighted dish and dried at 80 °C for 24 h. Biomass productivity was calculated as the ratio of dried biomass per volume per incubation time.

2.5 Lipid content and productivity

Algal broth at the late-exponential growth phase was divided into two aliquot parts. One part was utilized for cell dry weight measurement and the other part for lipid extraction. It was performed gravimetrically based on a method adapted from Bligh and Dyer (1959). Then lipid content was calculated as per the following equation (Eq. 1):

$$C_{Lipid} = \frac{\text{weight of extracted lipid}}{\text{weight of dried biomass}} \times 100 \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

Lipid productivity is a factor related to lipid content and biomass productivity. It was calculated as follows (Eq. 2):

$$P_{Lipid} (g/L.d) = \frac{C_{Lipid} (g/g) \times DCW (g/L)}{t(d)} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

Where P_{Lipid} is lipid productivity, C_{Lipid} is lipid content of cells, DCW is dry cell weight, and t is the cultivation period (d).

2.6 Scheme of experiments

Experiments were conducted in four different schemes as stated in Table 1. SH4 as production medium inoculated with seed cells grown in SH4 as inoculation medium (SH4/SH4), N8 as production medium inoculated with seed cells grown in N8 as inoculation medium (N8/N8), SH4 as production medium inoculated with seed cells grown in N8 as inoculation medium (SH4/N8), and N8 as production medium inoculated with seed cells grown in SH4 as inoculation medium (N8/SH4). The two schemes in which production and inoculation media were the same were utilized as control for the evaluation of the other two schemes.

Table 1.
Scheme of experiments.

Cultivation scheme	SH4 inoculation medium	N8 inoculation medium	SH4 production medium	N8 production medium
Scheme 1 (SH4/SH4)	×		×	
Scheme 2 (N8/N8)		×		×
Scheme 3 (SH4/N8)		×	×	
Scheme 4 (N8/SH4)	×			×

3. Results and Discussion

To investigate the effect of differing the inoculation medium from the production medium, two well-known culture media were adopted for growth of *C. vulgaris* in this study, i.e., N8 and SH4. The two media contained all the essential components required for the growth of *C. vulgaris* (Šoštarič et al., 2009). They were selected based on their quantitative elemental differences and their impacts on algal growth. Comparison of the media indicated that in N8, nitrogen source is in the form of nitrate while in SH4, it is in the form of ammonium. Carbon dioxide is the only carbon source in N8, whereas carbon dioxide and sodium bicarbonate are two carbon sources contained in SH4. Nitrogen content of N8 is 9.93 mM while it is 1.5 mM in SH4 that is 6.6 folds less than that of N8 due to the higher concentration of KNO_3 in N8 medium. Phosphorous content of the two media are significantly different as N8 has the phosphorous concentration of 6.8 mM while SH4 contains 0.18 mM of elemental phosphorous. In terms of essential ions, the two selected media are approximately the same. Differences in carbon and nitrogen sources directly affect growth and lipid production which in turn change biomass and lipid productivities.

Under the four different cultivation schemes, the growth curves were initially investigated as presented in Figure 1. As seen in this figure, the time length of growth phases for two media were different. Using SH4 as production medium, the log phase took 88 h to complete while it took 160 h when N8 was used as production medium. In cases where production and inoculation media were different, optical density at the end of the growth phase reached 1.5 in N8 medium.

Fig.1. Growth curves of *Chlorella vulgaris* under different cultivation schemes.

The optical density reached 0.7 over the course of 160 h in N8 medium where inoculation and production media were the same. This showed a two-fold increase in optical density using a different inoculation culture. This was the case for SH4 production medium in which changing the inoculation medium from SH4 to N8 caused an increase in optical density from 0.45 to 0.7 in 88 h. According to Table 2, biomass and lipid

Table 2.
Measured dry cell weights and lipid concentrations under different cultivation schemes.

Cultivation scheme	Dry Cell Weight (mg/L)	Lipid Concentration (mg/L)
SH4/SH4	150	45
SH4/N8	350	65
N8/N8	250	88
N8/SH4	455	123

productivities increased by 130% (from 150 to 350 mg/L) and 44% (from 45 to 65 mg/L), respectively, using SH4/N8 in comparison with SH4/SH4. In cases where N8 was the production medium (comparison between N8/N8 and N8/SH4) the biomass and lipid productivities increased by 82% (from 250 to 455 mg/L) and 40% (from 88 to 123 mg/L), respectively. These figures indicated that when the production medium was different from the inoculation medium, biomass and lipid productions boosted significantly.

Close examination of the observations revealed that for the enhancement of biomass production, differing the production medium from the inoculation medium was an effective strategy. Specifically SH4/N8 scheme was the best choice where biomass productivity increased by 2.3 folds. For lipid production enhancement, the same strategy was efficient in both SH4/N8 and N8/SH4 schemes and the lipid productivity was improved by 40%-44%. Examination of the productivities shown in Table 3 indicated that in N8/N8 and SH4/SH4 schemes of cultivation (identical production and inoculation media), lipid and biomass productivities were not significantly different; 13.2 vs. 12.3 mg/L.d for lipid productivity, and 37.5 vs. 40.9 mg/L.d for biomass productivity, respectively.

Table 3.
Biomass and lipid productivities and lipid contents at different cultivation schemes for *Chlorella vulgaris*.

Cultivation scheme	Lipid Content (% dry weight biomass)	Lipid Productivity (mg/L.d)	Biomass Productivity (mg/L.d)
SH4/SH4	30.0	12.3	40.9
SH4/N8	18.6	17.7	95.4
N8/N8	35.2	13.2	37.5
N8/SH4	27.0	18.5	68.3

In the two other schemes of cultivation, however, i.e., SH4/N8 and N8/SH4, the lipid and biomass productivities increased remarkably as in SH4/N8, biomass productivity reached 95.4 mg/L.d from base value of 40.9 mg/L.d and lipid productivity reached 17.7 mg/L.d from the base value of 12.3 mg/L.d. In N8/SH4 scheme, biomass productivity reached 68.3 mg/L.d from the base value of 37.5 mg/L.d and lipid productivity reached 18.5 mg/L.d from base value of 13.2 mg/L.d. These figures confirmed that when the production and inoculation media were different in algal cultivation, biomass and lipid productivities were improved (Fig. 2).

Fig.2. Comparison of lipid contents (% dry weight biomass) and lipid productivities in four cultivation schemes.

The above-mentioned strategy, however, caused adverse effect on lipid content as seen in Figure 2. It was observed that lipid production increased while lipid content decreased. Theoretically, it was because increases in

biomass production outweighed increases in lipid production and consequently lipid content decreased. In SH4/N8 culture, for example, “cumulative lipid production” increased by 44% from 45 mg/L to 65 mg/L (see Table 2), whereas “lipid production per cell” decreased approximately by 5% compared with SH4/SH4. The relationship between optical density and cell number was measured according to Equation 3:

$$y = 5.917 \times OD + 0.203 \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

Where y is the cell number when it is multiplied by 10^6 , and OD is optical density. Similarly, in N8/SH4 culture, “cumulative lipid production” increased by 40% from 88 mg/L to 123 mg/L (see Table 2) while “lipid production per cell” decreased approximately by 33% compared with N8/N8. Thus, increase in lipid production was due to the substantial increase in cell number and biomass rather than lipid production in a single cell. As a result, lipid content decreased while lipid productivity increased. The same observation was made in a study where growth under nutrient-rich conditions followed by cultivation under nitrogen starvation and controlled conditions of phosphate, light intensity, aeration, and carbon source was investigated (Mujtaba et al., 2012). In their study, lipid content decreased from 53% under nutrient-rich to 43% under nitrogen starvation while lipid productivity increased from 77.1 mg/L.d to 77.8 mg/L.d. It should be noted that in both cultivation schemes i.e., SH4/N8 and N8/SH4 lipid content decreased and lipid productivity increased. This observation indicates that nitrogen concentration of the media could not be the sole reason for lipid production alteration. Other factors such as phosphorous content, chemical form of nitrogen, i.e., ammonium vs. nitrate, and metal elements may also contribute to the improvement of lipid productivity and reduction of lipid content.

Table 4 tabulates specific growth rates in the four cultivation schemes. As presented, in SH4/SH4, specific growth rate was 45% higher than in N8/N8 scheme (0.0058/h in SH4/SH4 compared with 0.0040/h in N8/N8). This was while growth phase in N8 medium was twice longer than that in SH4 medium. Growth rate in each medium depends on both carbon and nitrogen sources. Carbon dioxide was the only carbon source in N8 medium which was bubbled into the medium with air. The N8 is a nutritious medium that contains more nitrogen than SH4 but in the form of nitrate (1000 mg/L potassium nitrate in N8 vs. 200 mg/L ammonium sulphate in SH4). Basically, ammonium is the inorganic nitrogenous form that is easier to assimilate, since nitrate has to be reduced to ammonium prior to uptake (Richardson et al., 1969).

Table 4.
Specific growth rates of *Chlorella vulgaris* under four cultivation schemes.

Cultivation scheme	Specific growth rates (1/h)
SH4/SH4	0.0058
SH4/N8	0.0083
N8/N8	0.0040
N8/SH4	0.0122

This fact along with the continuous addition of carbon dioxide into the medium in excess with mass transfer limitation contributed to a longer growth phase in N8 (see Fig. 1). Suitable buffering capacity of N8 medium also might have contributed to the longer growth phase. The pH of the solution did not drop during growth phase (~7) indicating that all CO_2 supplementation was converted to carbohydrate rather than proton.

As shown in Figure 3, removing carbon dioxide from the process as the sole carbon source significantly reduced biomass production while pH did not change. This was the evidence that CO_2 was predominantly fixed for biomass production. In SH4 medium two carbon sources were available, i.e., carbon dioxide and sodium bicarbonate. Concentration of bicarbonate

Table 5.
Dry weight and lipid values per cell under four cultivation schemes.

Production medium	Inoculation medium	OD	Cell number (y) (cell/mL) [*]	Lipid production per cell (mg Lipid/cell) ^{**}	Dry weight per cell (mg DCW/cell) ^{***}
SH4	SH4	0.45	2.8657×10^6	1.57×10^{-8}	5.23×10^{-8}
	N8	0.7	4.3449×10^6	1.50×10^{-8}	8.06×10^{-8}
N8	N8	0.7	4.3449×10^6	2.03×10^{-8}	5.75×10^{-8}
	SH4	1.5	9.0785×10^6	1.36×10^{-8}	5.01×10^{-8}

^{*} Cell number was calculated based on Equation 3.

^{**} Calculated by dividing total lipid (Table 2) by cell number.

^{***} Calculated by dividing total biomass (Table 2) by cell number.

Fig.3. Growth curves of *Chlorella vulgaris* in N8 medium with/without CO₂.

Fig.4. Growth curves of *Chlorella vulgaris* in SH4 medium with/without CO₂.

in this solution was 100 times more than that of CO₂ while pH was the final factor that determined which carbon source was consumed in the solution.

As seen in Figure 4, removing CO₂ from the medium did not noticeably change biomass concentration at the end of the growth phase due to the presence of bicarbonate but the growth levelled off at 65 h. However, the presence of CO₂ in the medium contributed to longer growth phase to 88 h with approximately the same biomass concentration.

Inoculation of N8 production medium with seed cells grown in SH4 significantly increased growth rate from 0.0040/h to 0.0122/h as well as biomass and lipid productivities. Cells already grown in SH4 were introduced in the high nitrogen content and nutritious N8 medium in which underwent a metabolic stress. This metabolic stress enforced protein and biomass production pathway, i.e., route 1 in Figure 5, resulting in higher biomass productivity while lipid production was suppressed due to higher nitrogen concentration. More biomass production compensated lower lipid content and consequently lipid productivity increased. It is indicated that under nutrient limitation stress condition there is a negative correlation between growth rate and lipid content (Roleda et al., 2013). Inoculation of SH4 medium with seed cells grown in N8 put cells in an environment with low nitrogen but in form of ammonium that was easier to uptake (Von Ruckert and Giani, 2004). This situation increased biomass production because of the change in metabolism and improved specific growth rate from 0.0058/h to 0.0083/h. Lipid production was inhibited in the presence of ammonia and metabolism was conducted toward biomass production through route 1 in Figure 5. Thus, biomass concentration reached higher values in a shorter period of time (approximately 88 h) resulting in higher lipid and biomass productivities due to higher cell concentration as reported in Table 3. During this period, biomass productivity underwent a 2.3-fold increase. The drop of pH from 7 to approximately 3.3 after this time, was due to the accumulation of protons as a result of assimilation of ammonium.

Close examination of changes in cell number as well as lipid and dry weight content of the cells in Table 5 revealed that the best cultivation scheme for lipid productivity and specifically biomass productivity enhancement was SH4/N8 scheme. As seen in Table 5, in cases where SH4 was the production medium, inoculants from N8 culture not only increased the cell number by 52%, but also increased "dry weight per cell" as high as 54% compared with inoculants from SH4 culture. This alteration led to a 2.33-fold improvement in biomass productivity. Simultaneously, inoculants from N8 increased lipid productivity by 44% compared with inoculants from SH4, "lipid production per cell" decreased by 5% though. Interestingly, in cases where N8 was the production medium, inoculants from SH4 culture increased the cell number by 109%, while, both "lipid production per cell" and "dry weight per cell" decreased by 33% and 13%, respectively, compared with inoculants from N8 culture. Combination of these changes resulted in 82% improvement in biomass productivity as well as 40% improvement in lipid productivity.

Overall, this analysis indicated that inoculation of a medium such as SH4 or N8 with seed cells from another medium improved biomass and lipid productivities. The choice of inoculation medium, however, was

Fig.5. Different metabolic routes available to algae under various environmental conditions. Route 1 is the pathway for protein and biomass production under high nitrogen concentration while Route 2 is the pathway for lipid synthesis under low nitrogen concentration.

shown to be important as the SH4/N8 cultivation scheme improved biomass and lipid productivities to a higher extent compared with the N8/SH4 cultivation scheme. This method of algal cultivation has potential to be applied at commercial scale. On one hand, this technique is all about improvement of biomass and lipid productivities and it should be noted that lipid productivity is a more indicative index of total lipid production than lipid content from the commercial standpoint (Griffiths and Harrison, 2009). On the other hand, it imposes no additional cost on the large-scale operation expenses while the extent of improvement is significant in terms of lipid productivity (40-44%) and specifically biomass productivity (2.3 folds). Compared with the other two-stage approaches that provide stress conditions to increase lipid and biomass production, this technique may be too appealing to the industry to be ignored.

4. Conclusions

Production of microalgae *C. vulgaris* in a culture medium that was inoculated with cells grown in a different medium was proved to be an effective strategy to improve biomass and lipid productivities. This strategy was employed using two well-known algal media, i.e., SH4 and N8 and the results indicated that in SH4 production medium inoculated with cells grown in N8, biomass productivity increased by 2.3-folds and lipid productivity increased by 44% compared with the case where SH4 production medium inoculated with cells grown in the same medium. The extent of improvement in biomass and lipid productivities was remarkable with regard to the fact that no major changes were needed to be applied to a conventional photoautotrophic algal cultivation. Other algal media and

their combinations for cultivation need to be examined for such a potential productivity improvement.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by Graduate Students Research Fund at Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran. Grant No. 11828. The authors declare no conflict of interest financially and personally.

References

- [1] Bligh, E.G., Dyer, W.J., 1959. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Can. J. Biochem. Phys.* 37, 911-917.
- [2] Carlsson, A.S., van Beilen, J.B., Moller, R., Clayton, D., 2007. Micro- and macro-algae: utility for industrial applications: Outputs from the EPOBIO Project, CPL Press, UK.
- [3] Chu, F.F., Chu, P.N., Cai, P.J., Li, W.W., Lam, P.K.S., Zeng, R.J., 2013. Phosphorous plays an important role in enhancing biodiesel productivity of *Chlorella vulgaris* under nitrogen deficiency. *Bioresour. Technol.* 134, 341-346.
- [4] Converti, A., Cassaza, A.A., Ortiz, E.Y., Perego, P., Del Borghi, M., 2009. Effect of temperature and nitrogen concentration on the growth and lipid content of *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Chlorella vulgaris* for biodiesel production. *Chem. Process. Eng. Process Intensif.* 48, 1146-1151.
- [5] Fan, J., Huang, J., Li, Y., Han, F., Wang, J., Li, X., Wang, W., Li, S., 2012. Sequential heterotrophy-dilution-photoinduction cultivation for efficient microalgal biomass and lipid production. *Bioresour. Technol.* 112, 206-211.
- [6] Griffiths, M.J., Harrison, S.T.L., 2009. Lipid productivity as a key characteristic for choosing algal species for biodiesel production. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 21, 493-507.
- [7] Han, F., Huang, J., Li, Y., Wang, W., Wang, J., Fan, J., Shen, G., 2012. Enhancement of microalgal biomass and lipid productivities by a model of photoautotrophic culture with heterotrophic cells as seed. *Bioresour. Technol.* 118, 431-437.
- [8] Hempel, N., Petrick, I., Behrendt, F., 2012. Biomass productivity and productivity of fatty acids and amino acids of microalgae strains as key characteristics of suitability for biodiesel production. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 24, 1407-1418.
- [9] Hu, H., Gao, K., 2006. Response of growth and fatty acid compositions of *Nannochloropsis* sp. to environmental factors under elevated CO₂ concentration. *J. Biotechnol. Lett.* 28, 987-992.
- [10] Illman, A.M., Scragg, A.H., Shales, S.W., 2000. Increase in *Chlorella* strains calorific values when grown in low nitrogen medium. *J. Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 27, 631-635.
- [11] Jiang, Y., Yoshida, T., Quigg, A., 2012. Photosynthetic performance, lipid production and biomass composition in response to nitrogen limitation in marine microalgae. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 54, 70-77.
- [12] Lee, K., Lee, C.G., 2001. Effect of light/dark cycles on wastewater treatments by microalgae. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.* 6, 194-199.
- [13] Liang, Y., Sarkany, N., Cui, Y., 2009. Biomass and lipid productivities of *Chlorella vulgaris* under autotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic growth conditions. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 31, 1043-1049.
- [14] Liu, Z.Y., Wang, G.C., Zhao, B.C., 2008. Effect of iron on growth and lipid accumulation in *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 4717-4722.
- [15] Lv, J.M., Cheng, L.H., Xu, X.H., Zhang, L., Chen, H.L., 2010. Enhanced lipid production of *Chlorella vulgaris* by adjustment of cultivation condition. *Bioresour. Technol.* 101, 6797-6804.
- [16] Mandalam, R.K., Palsson, B.O., 1998. Elemental balancing of biomass and medium composition enhances growth capacity in high-density *Chlorella vulgaris* cultures. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 59, 605-611.
- [17] Mata, T.M., Martins, A.A., Caetano, N.S., 2010. Microalgae for biodiesel production and other applications: a review. *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.* 14, 217-232.
- [18] Miao, X., Wu, Q., 2006. Biodiesel production from heterotrophic microalgal oil. *Bioresour. Technol.* 97, 841-846.
- [19] Mujtaba, G., Choi, W., Lee, C.G., Lee, K., 2012. Lipid production by *Chlorella vulgaris* after a shift from nutrient-rich to nitrogen starvation conditions. *Bioresour. Technol.* 123, 279-283.
- [20] Nigam, S., Rai, M.P., Sharma, R., 2011. Effect of nitrogen on growth and lipid content of *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*. *Am. J. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 7(3), 124-129.
- [21] Pittman, J.K., Dean, A.P., Osundeko, O., 2011. The potential of sustainable algal biofuel production using wastewater resources. *Bioresour. Technol.* 102, 17-25.
- [22] Qian, H., Chen, W., Sheng, G.D., Xu, X., Liu, W., Fu, Z., 2008. Effects of glutathione on antioxidant enzymes, subcellular structure, and gene expression in the unicellular green algae *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 88, 301-307.
- [23] Razon, L.F., Raymond, R.T., 2011. Net energy analysis of the production of biodiesel and biogas from the microalgae *Haematococcus pluvialis* and *Nannochloropsis*. *Appl. Energy.* 88, 3507-3514.
- [24] Richardson, B., Orcutt, D.M., Schwertner, H.A., Martinez, C.L., Wickline, H.E., 1969. Effects of nitrogen limitation on the growth and composition of unicellular algae in continuous culture. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 245-250.
- [25] Roleda, M.Y., Slocumbe, S.P., Leakey, R.J.G., Day, J.G., Bell, E.M., Stanley, M.S., 2013. Effects of temperature and nutrient regimes on biomass and lipid production by six oleaginous microalgae in batch culture employing a two-phase cultivation strategy. *Bioresour. Technol.* 129, 439-449.
- [26] Sheehan, J., Dunahay, T., Benemann, J., Roessler, P., 1998. A look back at the U.S. Department of Energy's Aquatic Species Program: biodiesel from algae. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO, Report NREL/TP-580.
- [27] Singh, P., Guldhe, A., Kumari, S., Rawat, I., Bux, F., 2015. Investigation of combined effect of nitrogen, phosphorous and iron on lipid productivity of microalgae *Ankistrodesmus falcatus* KJ671624 using response surface methodology. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 94, 22-29.
- [28] Šoštarič, M., Golob, J., Bricelj, M., Klinar, D., Pivec, A., 2009. Studies on the growth of *Chlorella vulgaris* in culture media with different carbon sources. *Chem. Biochem. Eng. Q.* 23(4), 471-477.
- [29] Tang, H., Abunasser, N., Garcia, M.E.D., Chen, M., Simon Ng, K.Y., Salley, S.O., 2011. Potential of microalgae oil from *Dunaliella tertiolecta* as a feedstock for biodiesel. *Appl. Energy.* 88, 3324-3330.
- [30] Tran, H.L., Kwon, J.S., Kim, Z.H., Oh, Y., Lee, C.G., 2010. Statistical optimization of culture media for growth and lipid production of *Botryococcus braunii* LB572. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.* 15, 277-284.
- [31] US DOE, 2010. National algal biofuels technology roadmap. US Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Biomass Program.
- [32] Uslu, L., Isik, O., Koc, K., Goksan, T., 2011. The effects of nitrogen deficiencies on the lipid and protein contents of *Spirulina platensis*. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 10, 386-389.
- [33] Von Ruckert, G., Giani, A., 2004. Effect of nitrate and ammonium on the growth and protein concentration of *Microcystis viridis* Lemmermann (Cyanobacteria). *Braz. J. Bot.* 27, 325-331.
- [34] Widjaja, A., Chien, C.C., Ju, Y.H., 2009. Study of increasing lipid production from fresh water microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris*. *J. Taiwan. Inst. Chem. Eng.* 40, 13-20.
- [35] Yusof, Y.A.M., Basari, J.M.H., Mukti, N.A., Sabuddin, R., Muda, A.R., Sulaiman, S., Makpol, S., Wan Ngah, W.Z., 2011. Fatty acids composition of microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris* can be modulated by varying carbon dioxide concentration in outdoor culture. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 10, 13536-13542.
- [36] Zheng, Y., Chi, Z., Lucker, B., Chen, S., 2012. Two-stage heterotrophic and phototrophic culture strategy for algal biomass and lipid production. *Bioresour. Technol.* 103, 484-488.