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HIGHLIGHTS

- Biogas: a promising renewable alternative for natural gas with similar applications.
- Biogas can be produced from different types of organic wastes.
- AD process is accompanied with several environmental advantages compared with incineration, landfilling, and composting.
- Besides energy, AD process generated a nutrient-rich biological fertilizer.
- Recent developments in metagenomics techniques have provided valuable tools to achieve improved AD process.

ABSTRACT

One of the greatest challenges facing the societies now and in the future is the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and thus preventing the climate change. It is therefore important to replace fossil fuels with renewable sources, such as biogas. Biogas can be produced from various organic waste streams or as a byproduct from industrial processes. Beside energy production, the degradation of organic waste through anaerobic digestion offers other advantages, such as the prevention of odor release and the decrease of pathogens. Moreover, the nutrient rich digested residues can be utilized as fertilizer for recycling the nutrients back to the fields. However, the amount of organic materials currently available for biogas production is limited and new substrates as well as new effective technologies are therefore needed to facilitate the growth of the biogas industry all over the world. Hence, major developments have been made during the last decades regarding the utilization of lignocellulosic biomass, the development of high rate systems, and the application of membrane technologies within the anaerobic digestion process in order to overcome the shortcomings encountered. The degradation of organic material requires a synchronized action of different groups of microorganisms with different metabolic capacities. Recent developments in molecular biology techniques have provided the research community with a valuable tool for improved understanding of this complex microbiological system, which in turn could help optimize and control the process in an effective way in the future.
1. Introduction

Biogas production through anaerobic digestion (AD) is an environmental friendly process utilizing the increasing amounts of organic waste produced worldwide. A wide range of waste streams, including industrial and municipal waste waters, agricultural, municipal, and food industrial wastewaters, as well as plant residues, can be treated with this technology. It offers significant advantages over many other waste treatment processes. The main product of this treatment, i.e., the biogas, is a renewable energy resource, while the by-product, i.e., the digester residue, can be utilized as fertilizer because of its high nutrient content available to plants (Ward et al., 2008). The performance of the AD process is highly dependent on the characteristics of feedstock as well as on the activity of the microorganisms involved in different degradation steps (Batstone et al., 2002). The conversion of organic matters into biogas can be divided in three stages: hydrolysis, acid formation, and methane production. In these different stages which are however carried out in parallel, different groups of bacteria collaborate by forming an anaerobic food chain where the products of one group will be the substrates of another group. The process proceeds efficiently if the degradation rates of the different stages are in balance (Yong et al., 2015).

This review presents an overview of the biogas industry worldwide and discusses some new technologies aiming at utilizing new substrates and enhancing the efficiency of the process.

2. Biogas, driving forces and the biogas industry

There is an increasing interest in bioenergy production across the world for environmental as well as economic and social reasons. The production of biogas contributes to the production of renewable and sustainable energy since biogas works as a flexible and predictable alternative for fossil fuels. The main political driving forces linked to the biogas system has a country-specific variation (Hüttemen et al., 2014). Within the European Union, well-developed biogas industry can be found in Germany, Denmark, Austria, and Sweden followed by the Netherlands, France, Spain, Italy, the United Kingdom, and Belgium. In these countries, with a strong agro-sector, reduction of nutrient emissions and renewable energy production are equally strong driving forces supporting biogas production. In other countries, like Portugal, Greece, and Ireland, as well as in many of the new East-European member states, the biogas sector is currently under development, due to the identified large potential for biomass utilization there.

The biogas plants in Europe are classified based on the type of digested substrates, the technology applied, or the size of the plant. In this sense, they are usually considered as (1) large scale, joint co-digestion plants or (2) farm scale plants. Nevertheless, there are no major differences between these two categories regarding the technology used.
four different waste streams, such as slaughterhouse waste, various crop residues, manure, and the organic fractions of municipal solid waste (OMSW). A successful co-digestion is not simply a digestion of several waste streams treated at the same time. In fact, biogas production and the stability of the process are highly dependent on waste composition, process conditions, and the activity of microbial community in the system. In that sense, for certain mixing ratios, co-digestion may also lead to antagonistic interactions, resulting in methane yields lower than expected (Pagés-Díaz et al., 2014 and 2015).

### 2.2. The farm scale biogas plants

It has been reported that more than 4,000 farm scale biogas digesters were in operation in Germany; followed by about 350 in Austria, 72 in Switzerland, 65 in the United Kingdom, 35 in Denmark, and 12 in Sweden (Raven and Gregersen, 2007; Wilkinson, 2011). The main substrate fractions, which are utilized in these farm scale biogas plants are animal manure and energy crops. One of the important aspects of biogas production for farmers is to reduce leaching of nutrients from agricultural lands to the aquatic environments (Bojesen et al., 2014). Hence, farm scale plants are usually established at large pig farms, aiming at solving the problems caused by the excessive slurry production. Figure 2 presents the closed cycle of organic waste AD and the main steps involved in the quality management process. The most common and recent digester type that is used in farm scale applications is a vertical tank generally made of concrete and equipped with a flexible membrane and light roof making it possible to be used as digester and gas-storage tank simultaneously. The average digester size here is typically from a couple of hundreds to one thousand m$^3$ (Garcia, 2005).

---

**Fig.1.** The main streams of the integrated concept of a centralized biogas plant (adapted from Holm-Nielsen et al., 2004).

**Fig.2.** Schematic representation of the closed cycle of anaerobic digestion of organic waste and the main steps involved in the quality management process (adapted from Al Seadi (2002)).
2.3. Domestic biogas technologies in developing countries

Domestic biogas digesters are abundant in developing countries, especially Asian countries, such as Nepal or Vietnam. Prior to the development of domestic biogas projects, it is important to check the current biogas diffusion in a given country in order to realize the maturity of the sector. The definition of national diffusion targets (i.e., a targeted amount of biogas units that should be built within a specified time frame) by the governments also provides information about the actual diffusion levels. In many countries already promoting domestic biogas production, the governments have implemented national programs aiming at establishing a proper biogas sector. Such programs typically include financing schemes, as well as training campaigns for local workforce, and providing technical support to project developers. These programs involve different players including non-profit organizations cooperating together with the local public institutions and the private sector in order to benefit potential synergies. The German GIZ (Society for International Cooperation, formerly GTZ) and the Dutch SNV are the two main international organizations acting worldwide for domestic biogas advancement, delivering technical service and documentation on this issue.

Some countries like India, Nepal, and China have much more domestic biogas plants than others. It has been reported that about 250,000 domestic plants were installed within the past 20 years in Nepal and 125,000 in Vietnam. Furthermore, 12,500 domestic biogas units are planned to be installed by the end of 2016 in Rwanda, 8,000 in Kenya, and 12,000 plants in Tanzania (Rakotojaona, 2013; TDBP, 2013; Cheng et al., 2014). The domestic biogas plant development is only at an earlier stage in Peru compared with the other Latin American countries. In 2013, the Dutch development organization in cooperation with the Peruvian planned to set up a national program to construct 10,000 domestic biogas plants within the next 5 years (Rakotojaona, 2013).

3. Current biogas process technologies

The production of biogas through AD offers major advantages over other forms of bioenergy production. In fact, it has been defined as one of the most energy-efficient and environmentally beneficial technology for bioenergy production (Deublein and Steinhauser, 2011). The degradation process can be divided into four phases: hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis, and methanogenesis; and in each individual phase, different groups of facultative or obligatory anaerobic microorganisms are involved as shown in Figure 3 (Merlin Christy et al., 2014; Chanyk et al., 2015; Abdeshahian et al., 2016).

Beside energy production, the degradation of organic waste also offers some other advantages including the reduction of odour release and decreased level of pathogens. Moreover, the nutrient rich digested residue could be used as organic fertilizer for arable land instead of mineral fertilizer, as well as an organic substrate for greenhouse cultivation (De Vries et al., 2012; Abdeshahian et al., 2016). Among the raw substances, organic materials obtained from farm and animal waste streams, as well as from industrial and household activities are pivotal sources for biogas production.

3.1. Substrates traditionally used

Through human activities, a huge amount of organic solid waste is generated, which as discussed earlier can be used as feedstock for biogas production. Based on the origin, the different waste streams can be classified as municipal solid waste (MSW), agricultural residues, and wastes from industrial activities. According to a 2012 world bank report, 1.3 billion tons of MSW was generated per year by 3 billion urban residents all over the world, which will increase to 2.2 billion tons by 2025 (Hoornweg and Bhada-Tata, 2012). MSW mainly consists of food waste, paper and paperboard, yard trimmings, wood, plastic, metal, and glass. However, its composition differs depending on regions and countries in which it is collected. To be able to utilize this fraction for biogas production, all the inert material, including plastic, metal, and glass should be removed prior to AD. Moreover, around 15 billion tons of waste, like crops residues and animal manure, is generated worldwide annually from the agricultural sector (Donkin et al., 2013).

Food processing industries also generate waste, however the estimation of its amount is excessively difficult, since it greatly depends on the industry and technology applied. As an example, in the juice producing industry up to 50% of the processed fruit will end up as waste. Moreover, 30% of the weight of a chicken is not suitable for human consumption, and it is therefore removed as waste during slaughtering and other processing steps (Salmenn and Rintala, 2002; Forgaës et al., 2012).

Although all these different waste fractions are suitable for biogas production, their biogas potential varies significantly. The biogas yield mainly depends on the composition and the biodegradability (under anaerobic conditions) of the waste. Theoretically, the highest biogas yield can be achieved from lipids (1.01 Nm³ CH₄/kg VS), followed by proteins (0.50 Nm³ CH₄/kg VS), and carbohydrates (0.42 Nm³ CH₄/kg VS) (Møller et al., 2004). On the other hand, biodegradability defines how much of a given material is actually utilized during the process. Some compounds like sugars degrade fast and completely, while the degradation of some other materials take longer times, as for example, lignocellulose-rich biomass degrades at very low rates.

3.2. Pretreatment for enhanced biogas production

The growing global energy demand together with the limited availability of fossil fuels, unstable energy prices, and environmental problems necessitate the use of renewable energies. The currently used feedstocks for AD are limited, and therefore, it is important to explore new substrates for their utilization in AD to reserve the growing needs. The abundance and availability of lignocellulosic biomasses worldwide as well as their high carbohydrate content make these materials an attractive feedstock for biofuel production. Lignocelluloses have been accounted for approximately 50% of the biomass in the world and the production of lignocelluloses can count up to about 200 billion tons per year (Claassen et al., 1999; Zhang, 2008). Currently, the utilization of lignocellulosic residues as feedstock for methane production is not widespread (Lehtomäki, 2006; Seppälä et al., 2007) due to their recalcitrant structure, which is the main challenge (Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009).

During the first step of AD, i.e., in the hydrolysis step, the hydrolytic bacteria convert the insoluble complex organic matters into monomers and soluble oligomers such as fatty acids, amino acids, and sugars (Fig. 3). The enzymes involved in this process are cellulases, hemicellulases, lipases, amylases, and proteases (Taherzadeh and Karimi, 2008). Therefore, in biogas processes, almost all kinds of substrates can be hydrolyzed. However, the rate of the hydrolysis step is highly dependent on the nature and structure of the feedstock, and therefore, pretreatment is required to enhance the efficiency of this process.
on the characteristics of a given substrate. Hydrolysis can proceed relatively fast if the necessary enzymes are produced by microorganisms and suitable surface area for physical contact between the enzymes and the substrate is provided (Tahezeradzeh and Karimi, 2008). Nevertheless, substrates with more recalcitrant structure, like cellulose, need longer period to be degraded, and the degradation is usually not complete (Deubel and Steinhauser, 2011). Hence, the hydrolysis step is often considered as the rate-limiting step when utilizing these kinds of substrates (Vavilin et al., 1996; Tahezeradzeh and Karimi, 2008).

Therefore, an initial pretreatment step, which converts raw materials to a form that is amenable to microbial and enzymatic degradation is needed (Zhang, 2008). A suitable pretreatment by the disruption of the secondary cell walls structure will reduce biomass recalcitrance and thus facilitate downstream processes. Optimal, a pretreatment should also be cost-effective and yield a polysaccharide-rich substrate with limited amounts of inhibitory by-products.

A numbers of pretreatment methods have been suggested for enhancing biogas production from lignocellulosic biomass, which can be classified as, physical, physicochemical, chemical, and biological pretreatments (Chandra et al., 2007; Tahezeradzeh and Karimi, 2008; Yang and Wyman, 2008; Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009). Milling, among the physical pretreatments was proven to be effective by shearing, increasing the specific surface area, and reducing the degree of polymerization (DP), thus improving the hydrolysis yield by 5–25%. Degree of such improvement depends on type of biomass, as well as the duration and type of milling (Jin and Chen, 2006; Zeng et al., 2007). Overall, it has been repeatedly shown that smaller particle sizes result in higher yields (Jin and Chen, 2006; Montanaro, 2009; Montanaro et al., 2011; Teghammar et al., 2012). That is why the physical pretreatment is often carried out in combination with other pretreatment methods. However, in some cases, the chemical agent used for the pretreatment can act as a potential inhibitor for the microbial community involved in the AD. In a recent study, it was found that the remaining solvent affected the digestion process negatively when forest residues was pretreated with an organic solvent, N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide, even at concentrations as low as 0.008% (Kabir et al., 2013). Besides, the pretreatment process itself might lead to the production of inhibitory products; and despite optimization of pretreatment conditions, some inhibitors will still occur in the pretreated slurry. These may be either degradation products, such as furans through lead to the production of inhibitory products; and despite optimization of pretreatment with ethanol or acetic acid result ed in higher methane yields; the remaining traces of these solvents after the pretreatment can be consumed for additional methane production. In a recent study, Kabir et al. (2015) applied ethanol, methanol, or acetic acid for the pretreatment of forest residues prior to AD. It was found that although according to the batch experimental results, treatments with ethanol or acetic acid resulted in higher methane yields; the techno-economic calculations showed that treatment with methanol was economically more feasible due to the lower price of methanol and the lower costs for its recovery after the treatment.

3.3. Challenges of the current processes

In general and as mentioned earlier, the AD of organic material requires combined activity of several different groups of microorganisms with different metabolic capacities (Himmel et al., 1994). To obtain a stable biogas process, all the conversion steps involved in the degradation of organic materials and the microorganisms carrying out these steps must work in a synchronised manner. Methanogens have longer duplication times (of up to 30 d) and are generally considered as the most sensitive group to process disturbances (Griffin et al., 1998). It is therefore important to prevent these groups of microorganisms from being washed out from the system, by decoupling the solid retention time (SRT) and the HRT. Major developments have been therefore made during the last decades with regard to development of high rate systems, lowering the effects of toxic compounds, integrating the biological process with membrane separation techniques, as well as better understanding of anaerobic metabolism, and interactions among different microbial species.

4. Novel anaerobic digestion technologies

AD systems have undergone several modifications in the last decades to increase the efficiency of the process. In this sense and aiming at overcoming the methanogenesis as the rate-limiting step, efficient retention of the slow-growing methanogenic biomass has been the most important challenge. An important milestone was the development of a new reactor design, i.e., the up flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor, containing a well-settleable methanogenic sludge due to the formation of a dense sludge bed. Another technology making possible to retain active biomass within the system was the application of membrane bioreactors (MBRs). Besides separating cells, the membrane can also be used for the separation of inhibitory compounds, which otherwise would negatively affect the biological process, or for in situ recovery of the product could result in decreased cost of downstream recirculating. Additionally, the development of molecular biology techniques provided researchers with a powerful tool to understand the complex microbial system involved in anaerobic degradation of organic matters. By the application of these techniques, it would be possible to regulate and control the process and discover disturbances much earlier then using traditional process parameters for monitoring the process.

4.1. High rate anaerobic reactors

The UASB reactor, which was developed by Dr. Gatze Lettinga in the Netherlands during the early 70s, is probably the most popular high-rate reactor system applied for anaerobic biological treatment of “wastewater”, as more than 1000 UASB reactors are in operation throughout the World. This process is attractive because of its compactness, high loading rates, relatively low retention times for anaerobic treatment, low operational cost, low sludge production, and high methane production rates. The granular or flocculated sludge is the main prominent characteristic of this type of reactors as compared with other anaerobic technologies. In an UASB reactor, anaerobic microorganisms can form granules through self-immobilization of the cells, and the performance of the system is strongly dependent upon the granulation process together with the characteristics of a particular wastewater treated (Schmidt and Ahring, 1996). Thus, changing the waste type will also affect the sludge quality and thereby the efficiency of the process. Moreover, substrates with a high fraction of particulate organic material are not suitable to be treated with this technology. A modified reactor configuration was therefore proposed recently aiming at separating the hydrolysis and acid formation steps from the methanogenesis step when treating MSW using a two-stage process including a continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR) and an UASB reactor (Aslanzadeh, 2014). Comparing the performance of this two-stage system with that of a traditional one-stage digestion, it was found that using this novel technology, organic loading rate (OLR) of 10 gVS/Ld could be achieved while the HRT could be reduced to 3 d.

4.2. Anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBR)

In membrane bioreactors (MBRs), the membrane forms a selective barrier allowing certain components to pass while retaining others, thereby the biological system can be protected. The application of MBRs provides both increased SRT by avoiding the wash out of the cells and decreasing inhibitor concentrations by the separation of inhibitors (Visvanathan and Abeynayaka, 2012).

Today, there are two different designs for membrane bioreactors applied. The membrane can be placed either in an external loop or submerged within the reactor (Fig. 4). The submerged system requires less space and energy, since compared with the external loop system, energy input is not required to maintain a continuous flow through the membrane. However, it could be problematic to operate this system at high particulate and/or cell concentrations, due to fouling (Judd, 2010). Membrane technologies developed and applied in waste water treatment processes can also be used for biogas production processes. Different studies on membrane technologies in biogas systems
reported yields comparable with those obtained with high rate systems, i.e., UASB systems (Lin et al., 2011; Wijekoon et al., 2011).

Encapsulation of methane-producing bacteria was carried out to test the viability of this technique in biogas processes. One-step liquid-droplet-forming method was used to form spherical capsules of alginate. Chitosan or CaCl₂ was used as counter-ions together with the addition of carboxymethyl cellulose. Furthermore, a synthetic Durapore® membrane (hydrophilic polyvinylidifluorid (PVDF)) was also tested by making encapsulating sachets with dimension of 3 × 3 or 3 × 6 cm² for holding the bacteria. The results indicated that these membranes allowed the penetration of nutrients into the cells while the gas produced could escape out of the capsules by diffusion. Hence, encapsulation can be a promising method, keeping high density of microorganisms in the system (Youngsukkase et al., 2012). This theory was further investigated by comparing the ability of encapsulated cells with free cells to handle limonene containing synthetic media during AD. Limonene naturally occurs in citrus waste, making the utilization of this waste stream in biogas processes difficult, due to its inhibitory effects on the biogas producing microorganisms. The results showed the protective effect of the PVDF membrane resulting in faster biogas production by the encased bacteria compared to the free cells (Youngsukkase et al., 2013).

Furthermore, a novel AnMBR configuration was investigated later, where both free cells and encased cells worked simultaneously in a single reactor treating a model substrate, Avicol, with limonene addition (Wikandari et al., 2014). The experiments were carried out at thermophilic conditions under semi-continuous operation at OLR of 1 gVS/d and HRT of 30 d. Generally, citrus waste contains 8 g/L limonene, and it was found that this reactor configuration could overcome the inhibitor problem with the addition of up to 5 g/L limonene. Thus, this technique has a potential to be applied for anaerobic digestion of fruit wastes containing certain inhibitory compounds.

As it was mentioned earlier, the recalcitrant structure makes the utilization of lignocellulosic biomass in biogas processes difficult. Besides the introduction of different pretreatment technologies prior to AD with an aim to open up their structure, another approach was recently introduced by processing the lignocellulosic biomass thermochemically instead, aiming at obtaining intermediary gases, called syngas. Syngas primarily contains carbon monoxide (CO) hydrogen (H₂), and carbon dioxide (CO₂). Hence, this gas mixture can be utilized by the anaerobic microorganisms, using the CO and/or CO₂ as carbon source and H₂ as energy source, to produce methane. In order to increase the productivity and the efficiency of the conversion, a reverse MBR (RMBR) was applied retaining the cells inside the reactor (Youngsukkase et al., 2015). Using anaerobic sludge encased in PVDF membranes, the conversion of syngas to methane could be carried out at a retention time of 1 d. Furthermore, co-digestion of syngas with a synthetic organic medium was also successful by allowing the diffusion of both gas and liquid through the surface of the membrane.

4.3. Integration of membranes and high rate systems

The combination of anaerobic membrane technology and high rate systems is increasingly being investigated. These integrated systems have several advantages such as improved methane production and less fouling problems and are especially suitable to treat high strength industrial and municipal wastewaters aiming at achieving solids free effluents with a high degree of pathogen removal.

Kraft evaporator condensate was treated at mesophilic conditions with a submerged combined UASB-MBR system achieving a methane yield of 0.35 L CH₄/gCODremoved which was very close to the theoretical yield of 0.397 L CH₄/gCOD at 37°C (Xie et al., 2010). However, seeding the UASB reactor with non-granule sludge required a long start up period (up to 3–4 months) to be able to achieve the formation of granules and hence, a stable biogas production. In that sense, the presence of a membrane in the reactor could eliminate the hydraulic pressure and negatively affect the granular sludge properties (Ozgun et al., 2015). Further investigations are therefore needed to determine the most optimal process configurations, i.e., the reactor type and the way of coupling it with the membrane module.

5. Microbial community analysis and biogas process control

As mentioned earlier, AD involves different degradation steps, i.e., hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis, and methanogenesis that are facilitated by various groups of microorganisms (Fig. 3). These microorganisms can be divided into three functional groups: hydrolysing and fermenting bacteria, obligate hydrogen-producing acetogenic bacteria, and methanogenic archaea (Ahring, 2003). Hydrolytic acidogenic bacteria (HABs) hydrolyze complex organic polymers into simple compounds during the first step of the degradation. During the acidogenesis process, volatile fatty acids (VFA), alcohols, H₂, and CO₂ are produced. Similarly, acetic acid, H₂, and CO₂ are produced in the acetogenesis step by the obligate H₂-producing acetogens. Syntrophobacter (PUs: propionate-utilizing acetogens) and Syntrophomonas (BUAs: butyrate-utilizing acetogens) represent the major part of acetogens. A key factor in the degradation is that anaerobic oxidation of butyrate and propionate occurs only in syntrophic association with H₂-utilizing methanogens (HUMs), consuming H₂ and CO₂ for methane (CH₄) production, preventing the accumulation of increasing H₂ pressure in the digester. Another way of methane formation is the conversion of acetate to CH₄ and CO₂ by the action of acetate-utilizing methanogens (AUMs) (Climent et al., 2007; Zahedi et al., 2013; Ennouri et al., 2016). In general, the operational parameters as well as substrate characteristics will influence the composition of the anaerobic microbial consortium present in a digester.

Molecular biology techniques provide valuable tools for improved understanding of microbial communities and their function in connection with different aspects of AD, which in turn may help optimize the biogas production process more efficiently. A broad range of studies was published recently on investigations on microbial community structures in biogas reactors. The methodologies applied included analysis of total bacteria and archaeal community by targeting 16S rRNA using 454 next generation sequencing (NGS) technique (Zakrzewski et al., 2012) or terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) (Wang et al., 2010); as well as detection and quantification of methanogenic Archaea by quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). qPCR is a commonly used method in microbial community studies to detect and quantify a targeted DNA sequence. The principle of qPCR is very similar to that of conventional PCR. The target gene is amplified over a number of cycles. However, the conventional PCR allows only end point detection, whereas using a fluorescent dye or probe, the concentration of the target gene can be monitored after each cycle in qPCR. The detected change in fluorescence intensity reflects the concentration of the amplified gene in real time (VanGuilder et al., 2008).

Among the first studies aiming at understanding the relationship between biodiversity, operating conditions, and process performance, the prokaryotic community of seven digesters treating sewage sludge was examined by constructing and analyzing a total of 9890 16S rRNA gene clones. The results showed that the bacterial community could be divided in three components: one-third of the phylotypes could be found in most
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of the digesters, one-third were phylotypes shared among a few digesters, and the rest were specific phylotypes found under certain conditions (Riviere et al., 2009).

5.1. Metagenomics approaches

The traditional molecular biology technologies help with identifying only the most abundant microbial populations present in the reactor. Due to their high sequencing depth, the newly developed sequencing techniques make the determination of both the most abundant and also the minor populations possible. The NGS-based metagenomic approach enables following up changes in the microbial community structure starting from the very initial stage to souring of the digester. Coding gene sequences (mRNA) especially those representing critical steps of specific metabolic pathways can be mapped to assess the functional profiles of microbial communities. The high throughput sequencing-based metagenomic characterization of various microbial communities involved in biomethanation of a range of substrates has been elucidated with the help of 454 pyrosequencing and SOLID NGS methods (Kovács et al., 2013; Sundberg et al., 2013; Pore et al., 2016). For example, Ion Torrent PGM technique, which was launched in 2011, provided the highest throughput compared with that of 454 NGS and it was recently used for microbial composition analysis in several studies (Luo et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013). Investigations on the microbial community in 21 full scale anaerobic digestion plants using 454 pyrosequencing of 16S rRNA gene sequences showed that the bacterial community was always more abundant and more diverse than the archaeal community in all reactors. Moreover, it was found that while acetoclastic methanogens could be detected in plants digesting sewage sludge, they were absent in co-digestions plants. Hence, methane is generated from acetate mainly via syntrophic acetate oxidation in the co-digestion plants (Sundberg et al., 2013). To date, most studies have strived to investigate the microbial community inside the reactors without taking into account the whole biogas process chain.

Using Ion Torrent PGM technique, investigations on bacterial composition analysis and the presence of bacterial pathogens were performed recently by Luo and Angestål (2014) within the whole biogas producing system including the influent, the biogas reactor, and the post-digesters. They found that bacterial community composition of the influent was changed after AD. More specifically, the richness and relative abundance of bacterial pathogens reduced during AD, however, an increase in the relative abundance of pathogens was observed after prolonged post digestion times of 30 d. The authors pointed out that special attention should be therefore paid to the post digestion step aiming at avoiding the re-growth of bacterial pathogens, which otherwise will limit the disposal of the digested residue as bio-fertilizer. Similarly, the denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) technique is still among the promising methods to perform a preliminary analysis of the microbial community profile and to monitor the various experimental stages during the biogas production process. In a recent study, Dias et al. (2016) compared the sequences from DGGE bands with NCBI and RDP databases and identified the significant presence of aceticlastic and methanogenic archaea (6 from 7 test novae), specifically *Gammaproteobacteria* in the biogas system from vinasse methanisation.

In another study, the microbial community structure in a state-of-art anaerobic digestor (SS-AD) treating lignocellulosic residues, i.e., waste from palm oil mill industry or wheat straw was investigated. The samples were analyzed by 16S rRNA gene (rrs) sequence analysis combined with PCR-DGGE. The bacterial community in SS-AD was comprised of *Ruminococcus sp.*, *Thiomargarita sp.*, *Clostridium sp.*, *Anaerobacter sp.*, *Bacillus sp.*, *Sporobacterium sp.*, *Saccharothermus sp.*, *Oscillobacter sp.*, *Sporobacter sp.*, *Lachnospiraceae sp.*, etc. (Heeg et al., 2014; Suksong et al., 2016).

Moreover, the high-throughput Illumina MiSeq approach is also widely considered as a promising culture-independent method to perform microbial community analysis of AD systems. By the application of this method, the specific syntrophic relationships between acetoclastic and methanogenic could be better understood, especially in terms of how it can be related to disturbances occurring in the biogas production process. Anaerobic digesters treating lipid-extracted microalgae residue at various inoculum to-substrate ratios were investigated using Illumina MiSeq analysis. Differences in the fluctuation distribution of the bacterial community were detected in accordance with the changes in inoculum to substrate ratios. The different levels of long chain fatty acids (LCFAs) affected each functional microbial group. Although methanogens were the most sensitive group to LCFA inhibition, the LCFA inhibition factor for hydrolytic bacteria was more highly affected by the inoculum to substrate ratios. Syntrophic acetogens showed a decreased abundance in case of high LCFA concentrations (Ma et al., 2015; Aydin, 2016).

6. Concluding remarks

The increasing demand for renewable energy compels the exploration of new substrates and the development of new technologies for biogas production. Regarding raw materials for AD, it is preferable to utilize waste streams since in this way, the process addresses both waste reduction and energy production. Lignocellulosic residues are readily available; however, further development of novel pretreatment technologies are needed to achieve economically viable processes. Anaerobic degradation of organic material requires a well functioning microbial consortium, and methanogenic microorganisms, responsible for methane production within the final step of the digestion process, are known to be the most sensitive ones to process disturbances. This together with their slow growing rate made it necessary to develop novel process configurations aiming at preventing their wash out from the system. In this sense, the development of UASB reactor was an important milestone. In UASB system the formation of a dense well-settleable granular sludge makes an efficient decoupling of SRT and HRT possible. In better words, a crucial factor for a successful anaerobic high-rate treatment is the retention of all slow-growing microorganisms. Hence, when sludge granulation is hindered or lacking, membranes can be applied for biomass separation and recying back into the reactor.

Therefore, the interest in using different membrane configurations is driven by the requirement for increasing productivity. However, with high particulate and/or cell concentrations, the operation of these kinds of systems can be problematic due to fouling. Thus, full-scale implementation of the AnMBR technology will be highly dependent on flux levels achieved during long-term operation. Finally, since AD is a complex microbial process, a broad range of studies have recently aimed at understanding the relationship between the microbial community structure, operating conditions, and process performance. By using novel newly-developed molecular biology tools, it would be possible to control and regulate the process in an effective way. To date, these techniques were mainly applied for the digestion step itself, however, it is necessary to pay attention to the whole biogas production system, including storage and feeding together with the post digestion step in the future as well.
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